

# Con Alma Health Foundation Grant Making Committee Review Items

In our commitment to transparency, Con Alma Health Foundation is sharing our Grant Making Committee scoring rubric. This document outlines what reviewers look for when scoring applications, providing valuable guidance to applicants.

## SCORING DETAILS TAB

**Maximum Possible Points: 100**

**Do not leave a scoring field blank. To assign zero points, enter a zero.**

### **\* Alignment with Funding Guidelines (max. 25 points)**

*(Award up to 5 points for each item)*

- Targets one of the six priority areas outlined in the guidelines and demonstrates a clear need related to a health challenge impacting communities in NM
- Benefits excluded/marginalized people or historically underserved regions such as tribal nations, rural/frontier areas, culturally/linguistically diverse communities, LGBTQ, those with disabilities, immigrants/refugees, etc.
- Shows leadership, involvement, or key strategies/information provided by people from the community/ies to benefit
- Goes beyond CAHF funding to include collaborative/cooperative relationships, leverage of additional funding, services or in-kind resource
- Focuses on or includes activities that will promote systems-level changes and/or increase health equity

Alignment with Guidelines Notes

### **\* Feasibility/Capacity (max. 20 points)**

*(Award up to 5 points for each item)*

- Organization demonstrates knowledge and/or experience to effectively carry out proposed activities - or has a feasible plan to access such knowledge/experience
- Organization has or will be able to acquire the staff, resources, available funding, and other resources to complete proposed work or maintain it for the grant period
- Organization, staff, and/or key volunteers or collaborative partners have established connections and/or track record working with the communities involved with grant activities
- Budget shows understanding and ability to manage financial resources - revenues are adequate and expenses are clearly associated with accomplishing proposed work

Feasibility/Capacity Notes

## Con Alma Health Foundation Grant Making Committee Review Items

### **\* Outcomes and Impact (max. 20 points)**

*(Award up to 5 points for each item)*

- The important changes or accomplishments planned are clearly described and can effectively address the need/opportunity described
- Outcomes identified relate appropriately to the needs and characteristics of the people and communities engaged in, or benefiting from the work
- Proposed activities are specific, focused, and achievable and are likely to contribute to the expected outcomes during the grant period.
- Methods identified to assess planned outcomes or indicate progress/results are clear and may be easily described/reported at the end of the grant period

Outcomes and Impact Notes

### **\* Comparative Strengths (max. 25 points)**

*(The more of these statements are true, the more points you should award, up to the maximum)*

- The proposal targets a problem, need or inequity that has an especially damaging or immediate impact in New Mexico or in the communities addressed
- This work will effectively reach a geographic area or community that has few resources or services to meet its needs
- This work is focused on an underserved population that has suffered from a systematic lack of attention or resources to address barriers that affect them
- This work presents new or innovative approaches to addressing systems change
- Organization is experienced in this work and/or does creative 'outside the box' thinking to advance solutions
- Organization is well-known and/or highly trusted in the geographic area(s) targeted
- Organization is bringing new resources and expertise to a geographic area that lacks them
- Organization has strong working relationships or is highly trusted by people in the communities they will be engaging
- Resources to be leveraged in this work are especially needed, appropriate, or valuable to the communities and/or people engaged
- Collaborative aspects of this proposal are particularly strong and will bring more organizations into focusing on addressing the need/opportunity identified
- The impact of this work could continue well after the grant period and/or beyond the geographic range of funded activities

Comparative Strengths Notes

### **\* Discretionary Points (max. 10)**

*(Assign up to 10 points to this proposal for reasons YOU think it is particularly fund-worthy, and please explain in the "Discretionary Notes" below.)*

\* Discretionary Notes